

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,911	01/30/2004	Frederic Sgier	09955.0025-00000	4613
22852 7590 03/27/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER	
			SHAFFER, RICHARD R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/766,911	SGIER ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Richard R. Shaffer	3733		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. To period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from c, cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 F	ebruary 2007.			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	·		
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 30 January 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ce of Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) cer No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

It is acknowledged that previously the drawings were accepted by the examiner, however after additional consideration, minor formalities are deemed present.

The drawings are objected to because they are unclear in terms of specific structure primarily with the thick line use bleeding into additional structure evident in Figure 1 with the screw heads (16), the threading of element (4) in Figure 1 and all structure detailing the cavity (11) in Figures 2 and 3. In general, it is recommended that applicant corrects for the thick line use to better convey the structure of the invention as well as assure that the line thickness remains even. Often times throughout the drawings the line thickness is rugged and thus uneven. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If

Art Unit: 3733

the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin et al (US 5,368,594) in view of Vignaud et al (US Patent 5,176,680) and in further view of Schlapfer et al (US Patent 5,501,684).

Martin et al disclose a vertebral arthrodesis device (Figures 1-4) comprising: at least two pins (3); screws (5b) having a head with a cavity (11) to receive a pin (3); the head having two lateral threaded holes receiving two threaded fastening screws (14); the cavity (11) snapping onto the pin (5, See Column 1, Line 63 through Column 2, Line 13 and Column 3, Line 63 through Column 4, Line 6 discussing "clipping the rods into the cavities prior to applying elements 12); and the cavity is able to perpendicular flex (relative to the longitudinal axis of the arthrodesis device) because of two inward pointing slots (13, Figure 2).

Martin et al fail to disclose lateral undercuts to allow pivoting, a ring placed along the pin, and a cavity capable of securing the pin (spinal rod) with a ring about it.

Vignaud et al teaches a similar device with a bone-anchoring portion (1), a split ring (9)

Art Unit: 3733

slidable along the length of the spinal rod (6), clamping means (7, 8, and 18), and lateral undercuts (Figures 1 and 3, the areas of parts 5 and 17). The ring and lateral undercuts allow for pivoting of the spinal rod as shown in Figure 2. It is explained (Column 1, Lines 1-20) that fixed systems only allow rods to be placed perpendicular to pedicle screws and thus make it difficult to re-establish physiological curves of the spine. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Martin et al with the split ring and lateral undercuts of Vignaud to allow for placement of a rod in an orientation other than perpendicular to the pedicle screw in order to facilitate the positioning of the spine.

Schlapfer et al teaches in **Figure 2** a sliding ring to allow pivoting of the screw in a bone fixation device longitudinal cuts none of which go through entirely, but initiate at alternating ends of the ring. This allows greater flexibility of the ring while maintaining integrity. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the split ring in the combination of Martin et al and Vignaud et al with the teaching of Schlapfer et al to allow greater flexibility of the spinal rod while maintaining integrity.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,911

Art Unit: 3733

Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard R. Shaffer whose telephone number is 571-272-8683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard Shaffer March 22nd, 2007

Bichard Shaffer

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER